



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,605	03/29/2001	Masayuki Takahashi	55,731 (70904)	5076

7590 05/09/2002

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman LLP
130 Water Street
Boston, MA 02109

EXAMINER

HARRINGTON, ALICIA M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2873

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/821,605	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia M Harrington	2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/29/01, 7/16/01 and 5/3/02.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5-7 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
- 1.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- 3.) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>4</u> . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3 & 4</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION***Information Disclosure Statement***

The information disclosure statements filed on 7/16/01 and 5/3/02 have been considered. The Examiner thanks applicant for informing Examiner of a foreign reference on the latter IDS as being relevant to applicants claimed invention. As such the notice of allowance discussed in the first conversation with applicants' attorney on 5/2/02 is now vacated.

Drawings

Figures 1-9 and 13 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. *Additionally, applicant is advised the Examiners amendment discussed in first conversation has been vacated, since the case is not in condition for allowance. And thus, applicant must file proposed drawing corrections in response to this office action.*

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,8-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potts et al (US 5,332,893) in view of (International reference 231767).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Potts discloses a two-dimensional image sensor (see figure 2) with a readout circuit comprising (see figure 3 and col. 6, lines 14-46) a charge sensitive amplifier (51), a low pass filter (53), a voltage amplifier (55) where the voltage amplifier follows the low pass filter. However, Potts fails to specifically disclose the low pass filter and voltage amplifier share a common element. Although, it is well known in the prior art, International Reference 231767.

Reference 231767 discloses a readout circuit where readout circuit in figure 4 has a low pass filter and amplifier share a common resistor. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Potts, as taught by reference 231767, since less parts would make for an less expensive system to build and prior art clearly teaches common utilization of parts.

Regarding claim 2, Potts and reference 231767 disclose a low pass filter and voltage amplifier that shares a common circuit and a time constant corresponds to the time rate of change of the device that depends upon the input. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that as the amplification voltage raises the time constant increases.

Regarding claim 3, as discussed above, the international reference discloses sharing a common resistor. However, Potts and Reference 231767 disclose the claimed invention except for the use of a common capacitor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made share a capacitor since it has been held that it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

Regarding claim 4, Potts discloses where voltage amplifier circuit includes an operation amplifier (72) having an inverted input terminal to which the capacitor and low pass filter circuit includes the resistor and capacitor is connected with the resistor.

Regarding claim 8, Potts discloses the voltage amplifier where the feedback capacitor (76) is provided between the inverted input terminal and the output terminal.

Regarding claim 9, Potts discloses a reset switch (78).

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potts in view of International Reference 231767 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Applicants' admitted prior art figure 8 (description in background of invention, page 13).

Regarding claim 10, Potts disclose the signal output from the voltage amplifier can be multiplexed. However, Potts and Reference 231767 fail to disclose the claimed circuit configuration. Although, applicants admitted prior art discloses the claimed readout circuit configure in figure 8. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Potts and the admitted prior art circuit is designed to cut unwanted frequencies.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potts in view of International Reference 231767 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Ando (JP 361070872A).

Regarding claims 12-13, Potts discloses the imaging device has a photoconductive layer (col. 2, lines 25-40, col. 7, lines 50-67) and the electrodes (see figure 2) receive charges and

output them to detection circuit where the charges are interpreted as pixel of an image (col. 5, lines 30-60). However, Potts and Reference 231767 fail to disclose the photoelectric conversion circuit is configured to hold the charge while the charge detection reads the charge for the photoelectric converter.

Ando discloses a solid state imager where photodiodes are used to collect charges where the photodiode retains the charge until the vertical switch is activated (see constitution and 3) to allow charge to read to the detection circuit for amplifying, sampling and holding, low pass filtering and amplifying again to create an output image signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Potts and Reference 231767, since it provides it is a known photoelectric conversion circuit configuration as early as 1986 and Andos' circuit suppresses blooming.

Regarding claims 14-15, Potts discloses the data lines, which sends charges to the readout circuit (see figure 2). However, Potts fails to specifically disclose the claimed circuit configuration implemented to hold the charge while the charge detection reads the charge for the photoelectric converter. However, Ando discloses a solid state imager where photodiodes are used to collect charges where the photodiode retains the charge until the vertical switch is activated (see constitution and figures 1 and 3) by vertical register/scanner (6) to allow charge to read to the detection circuit for amplifying, sampling and holding, low pass filtering and amplifying again to create an output image signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Potts and Reference 231767, since it provides it is a known photoelectric conversion circuit configuration as early as 1986 and Andos' circuit suppresses blooming.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 5 and 7, prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the dependent claims, in such manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in the independent claims, which include a charge amount detection circuit share a plurality of capacitors that are connected to each other in parallel as claimed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Michon et al (US 5,436,4420 discloses a high temperature photo detector; and

Manning et al (US 4,808,822) discloses a thermal detector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M Harrington whose telephone number is 703 308 9295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703 308 4883. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2873

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Alicia M Harrington
Examiner
Art Unit 2873

AMH
May 6, 2002.



Georgia Epps
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800